UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

PAULA SCHWERDTFEGER and CHADWICK PAUL SCHWERDTFEGER,

Appellants,

v.

Case No. 13-C-0085

ALLEN L. PETRIE and GAYLE M. PETRIE,

Apellees.

ORDER

Allen and Gayle Petrie brought an adversary proceeding before the bankruptcy court seeking an order directing that their claims against Paula Schwerdtfeger and Chadwick Schwerdtfeger be nondischargeable under 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(6), or that discharge be denied under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(2). After a two-day trial, the bankruptcy court issued an oral decision holding that the Petrie's claim against the Schwerdtfegers was nondischargeable under § 523(a)(6), and awarded judgment in favor of the Petries. The Schwerdtfegers appealed the decision of the bankruptcy court, and the matter is before me now.

During the course of its brief existence thus far, the appeal has suffered from numerous errors. To begin with, the Schwerdtfegers neglected to file with the clerk or serve on the appellees a designation of the items to be included in the record on appeal and a statement of the issues to be presented, as required by Rule 8006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8006 ("Within 14 days after filing the notice of appeal as provided by Rule 8001(a) . . . the

appellant *shall* file with the clerk and serve on the appellee a designation of the items to be included in the record on appeal and a statement of the issues to be presented." (emphasis added)). Rule 8006 plainly provides that the record on appeal "*shall include* the items so designated by the parties, the notice of appeal, the judgment, order, or decree appealed from, and any opinion, findings of fact, and conclusions of law of the court." *Id.* (emphasis added). The Schwerdtfegers also failed to arrange for a transcript of the trial as provided for in Rule 8007. The only documents transmitted to this Court were the notice of appeal and the docket in the bankruptcy case below.

The Schwerdtfegers nevertheless filed their appellant's brief on February 7, 2013. Not surprisingly, the brief contains no citations to the record. In addition, the Petries contributed to the delinquency of this proceeding in that, without explanation, they filed their appellee's brief more than a month late. Rule 8009 provides that "[t]he appellee *shall* serve and file a brief within 14 days after service of the brief of appellant." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8009(a)(2) (emphasis added). The Petries filed their brief on March 26, 2013.

District courts have broad discretion to sanction parties when appropriate. *In re Matter of Scheri*, 51 F.3d 71, 76 (7th Cir. 1995). Rule 8001 provides that "failure to take any step other than timely filing a notice of appeal does not affect the validity of the appeal, but is ground only for such action as the district court . . . deems appropriate, which may include dismissal of the appeal." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8001(a). Thus, courts may take such action as they deem appropriate when determining whether to dismiss an appeal due to missing designations and statements of issues on appeal. However, dismissal is a severe sanction, and a court may overlook such failures for good cause. *See id.* at 75.

At this point, I decline to dismiss the appeal. To the extent that the parties have failed to avail themselves of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure or of this District's Local Rules regarding electronically filing pleadings and other papers, they should familiarize themselves posthaste before attempting to continue to represent their clients before this Court. The parties are hereby warned that further failure to comply with the rules or the orders of this Court may result in sanctions, including dismissal of this appeal.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that the appellants shall comply with Rule 8006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and must file with the clerk and serve on the appellee a designation of the items to be included in the record on appeal and a statement of the issues to be presented within 10 days of the date of this order. In addition, because the brief filed by the appellants contains no citations to the record, the Clerk is ordered to strike the appellant's brief (ECF No. 3) and the response filed by the appellees (ECF No. 5). In accordance with Rule 8009, the Court hereby vacates the existing briefing schedule and specifies the timing for refiling the briefs as follows: (1) the appellant's brief shall be served and filed within 14 days of the receipt of the transmission of the record by the clerk of the district court; (2) the appellee's brief shall be served and filed within 14 days after service of the brief of the appellant; and (3) the appellant may serve and file a reply brief within 14 days after service of the brief of the appellee. These issues being decided, the Clerk is further ordered to remove from the calendar the telephone status conference currently scheduled for April 2, 2013.

Dated this 27th day of March, 2013.

s/ William C. Griesbach

William C. Griesbach, Chief Judge United States District Court